MASTER PLAN UPDATE TO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

DATE: 29 OCT 07
LOCATION: Scholes Hall, Roberts Room

AGENDA

1. Overview & Process chart with Progress percentages
2. Threads from Interviews with Deans/Others
3. Headcount projections and Implications to HSC
4. Charrette Agenda
5. Other
MP Executive Committee  
Monday, October 29, 2007  
Scholes Hall Roberts Room  
8:00 am – 9:00 am

**Executive Committee Attendees:**  
David Harris  
Paul Roth  
Paul Krebs

**Executive Committee Attendees Absent:**  
Viola Florez

**Work Group Attendees:**  
Mary Kenney  
Joe Brawley  
Miguel Hidalgo  
Steve Beffort  
Chris Vallejos

Notes prepared by Tabia Murray

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Next Steps</strong></th>
<th><strong>Responsible Party</strong></th>
<th><strong>Due Date</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charrette Agenda will be provided to the Executive Committee</td>
<td>Joe Brawley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briefing with President Schmidly and Executive Committee after Charrette for next steps instruction to the Work Group</td>
<td>Cenissa Martinez</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide a simplified sequence of events for the Master Plan Process for the Executive Committee.</td>
<td>Joe Brawley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agenda Review**

**Current Project Status**

**Item:** *Actions since September 10th meeting*

**Discussion:** Master Plan Working Group has added Tim Cass, Athletics; Steve McKernan, UNMH; Pug Burge, HSC; Mark Kistner, UNMH; Carolyn Thompson, Strategic Initiatives.  

Charrette planning is moving forward. Executive Committee would like to see the agenda for the Charrette.  

Joe Brawley led the discussion regarding the Master Planning Process and showing where the process is.

**DATA GATHERING**  
**ANALYSIS/SYNTHESIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic</th>
<th>Market &amp; Enrollment Analysis</th>
<th>60% complete</th>
<th>Academic Programs</th>
<th>20% complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
While this reflects the overall Master Plan process, the individual plans will have different percentages in the process, some are further ahead and some further behind.

The discussion led into the upcoming Charrette. The Executive Committee felt it was important for them to give President Schmidly a briefing prior to the Charrette. The Executive Committee stressed that they want to establish the guiding principles for the Master Plan and the Charrette. The direction that the Charrette team receives needs to be the very best information so the outcome is good and useful for the Master Plan. It was clarified for that the Working Group is not making conclusions based on the data that they are gathering for the Charrette; the Charrette will not produce the end result of the Master Plan, but rather is a tool to begin dealing with very specific issues within the Master Plan (i.e. – gateways, reservoir site, pedestrian/bike corridors, etc.). The Charrette will produce visual concepts for the specific issues it studies, that will need to be evaluated by the Executive Administration to agree with or not. The Executive Committee also stressed that President Schmidly should come to the closing session of the Charrette. The closing session of the Charrette has been put on President Schmidly’s calendar.

**Actions:**

1. Charrette Agenda will be provided to the Executive Committee.

2. The closing session of the Charrette has been put on President Schmidly’s calendar.

3. Briefing with President Schmidly and Executive Committee after Charrette for next steps instruction to the Work Group.

4. Joe Brawley will provide a simplified sequence of events for the Master Plan Process for the Executive Committee.

---

**Item:**  

*Enrollment Projections*

**Discussion:** Joe Brawley presented to the Executive Committee the enrollment projections received from Terry Babbitt. The Executive Committee expressed deep concern over the projections. EVP Roth would like to see separate projections for HSC and Main. HSC is assuming drastic enrollment increases over the next 5-10 years. Also concerning is the implications that the 2+2 model with CNM will have on enrollment numbers for the Main campus. How will students who are attending both UNM and CNM be counted? The Work Group is asking Terry Babbitt to come back and hear the assumptions for HSC. EVP Harris expressed concern that the enrollment projections do not show the graduate enrollment that the President will be seeking. EVP Roth agreed with EVP Harris’ concern regarding graduate enrollment projections as well as the different facility implications that will be required by graduate and undergraduate and the science and non-science programs.

**Action:** Information Only, No Action Required.
Item: Discussion with Paul Krebs

Discussion: EVP Harris and EVP Roth left to attend a meeting with President Schmidly. VP Krebs expressed concern that current capital projects would not be stopped or slowed down during this Master Planning process. Mary Kenney explained that current capital projects would not be slowed or stopped, the Master Planning process would not interrupt any projects, but rather reinforced the necessity for integration of all projects into the planning process and communication so that planning does not happen in a vacuum. The Working Group is trying to get out the information that has already been completed and compiled, there have been lots of planning efforts happening throughout the campus and we are trying to include all of that in the process to give as much information as possible to the Executive Administration to make reasonable decisions.

Action: Information Only, No Action Required.

Next Meeting: TBD, 2007